Insolvency and Restructuring International



the global voice of the legal profession

The Journal of the IBA Insolvency Section

Vol 12 No 2

SEPTEMBER 2018

ISSN 1995-0241



Evolution of the Italian restructuring framework

Foreign bankruptcies in Switzerland

Russia: cryptocurrency and bankruptcy estate

CONTENTS

Vol 12 ISSUE 2 September 2018

FEATURE ARTICLES



NIKI: testing the new Article 3 Paragraph 1 EIR Christoph G Paulus



Recent evolution of the Italian restructuring framework

Lorenzo Pietromarchi



Case study of a Dismissal of a Chapter 11 Proceeding in favour of a pre-existing Foreign Proceeding

Eric D Schwartz and Sara Toscano

Foreign bankruptcies in Switzerland

Beat Mumenthaler and Louis de Mestral

Guarantors under Bankruptcy Code: the curious case of confusing precedents

Sahil Kanuga and Arjun Gupta

France - quo vadis?

Anja Droege Gagnier and Amélie Dorst

The new Dutch scheme: restructuring instrument of the future?

Thomas Bil

Russia: cryptocurrency and bankruptcy estate

Sergey Treshchev and Elena Malevich

Insolvency and Restructuring International

SEPTEMBER 2018



From the Co-Chairs	3	
Richard J Mason and Kirsten Schümann-	Kleber	
From the Co-Editors	4	
Matteo Bazzani and Gottfried Gassner		

REPORTS

UNCITRAL rejects comity for judgments, embraces universalism for groups	33
Report from the Reorganisation and Workouts Subcommittee	36
Report from the Insolvent Financial Institutions Subcommittee	37
Report from the Creditors' Rights Subcommittee	37
Guidelines for contributors	41

see: http://tinyurl.com/pastIRlarticles

Subscription rates 2018

Insolvency and Restructuring International (two issues a year) Vol 12. ISSN: 1995 0241

£72.50 single issue

£127

print subscription (two issues/year) £90 online-only subscription (save 30%)

For details of advertisement and insertion rates please contact the International Bar Association at

andrew.webster-dunn@int-bar.org International Bar Association 4th floor, 10 St Bride Street, London EC4A 4AD Tel: +44 (0)20 7842 0090. Fax: +44 (0)20 7842 0091 editor@int-bar.org • andrew.webster-dunn@int-bar.org • www.ibanet.org

© International Bar Association 2018

Change of Address

Please inform the International Bar Association at the London address (member@int-bar.org)

Postal Information

Postmaster – send corrections to: Insolvency and Restructuring International C/O Mercury Airfreight International Ltd 2323 Randolph Avenue, Avenel NJ 07001 (US Mailing Agent)

Periodicals postage paid at Rahway, NJ, USA Printed by Pensord, Wales.

Terms and conditions for submission of articles

- Articles published in Insolvency and Restructuring International must be the original work of the author, must not have been previously published, and must not currently be under consideration by another journal. If it contains material which is someone else's copyright, the unrestricted pernission of the copyright owner must be obtained and evidence of this submitted with the article and the material should be clearly identified and acknowledged within the text. The article shall not, to the best of the author's knowledge, contain anything which is libellous, illegal, or infringes anyone's copyright or other rights.

 Copyright shall be assigned to the IBA and the IBA will have the exclusive right to first publication, both to reproduce and/or distribute an article (including the abstract) ourselves throughout the world in printed,
- electronic or any other medium, and to authorise others (including Reproduction Rights Organisations counter-product antwor astrobic an arricle (including ne abstract or orisewes miorignout the wonor in principle, electronic or any other medium, and to authorise others (including Reproduction Rights Organisations such as the Copyright Licensing Agency and the Copyright Clearance Center) to do the same. Following first publication, such publishing rights shall be non-exclusive, except that publication in another journal will require permission from and acknowledgment of the IBA. Such permission may be obtained from the Director of Content at editor@int-bar.org
- The rights of the author will be respected, the name of the author will always be clearly associated with the article and, except for necessary editorial changes, no substantial alterations to the article will be

Insolvency and Restructuring International

September 2018 Vol 12 No 2 Citation (2018) 12 IRI

Insolvency and Restructuring International is published twice a year.

The views expressed in this journal are those of the contributors and not necessarily those of the International Bar Association.

Section Officers 2018

Co-Chairs

Richard Mason

McGuireWoods, Chicago
rmason@mcguirewoods.com

Kirsten Schümann-Kleber GÖRG Partnerschaft von Rechtsanwälten mbB, Berlin kschuemann-kleber@goerg.de

Senior Vice-Chairs

Constantinos Klissouras

K P Law Firm, Athens
cklissouras@kp-lf.com

Dario Oscos Coria Oscos Abogados, Mexico City doscos@oscosabogados.com.mx

Marcel Willems Fieldfisher, Amsterdam marcel. willems@fieldfisher.com

Vice-Chairs

Bart De Moor
Strelia, Brussels
bart.demoor@strelia.com

Beth Hansen Red Ventures, Fortmill bhansen@redventures.com

Karen O'Flynn Clayton Utz, Sydney koflynn@claytonutz.com

Robert van Galen NautaDutilh,Amsterdam robert.vangalen@nautadutilh.com

Mike Weinczok
Dickinson Wright, Toronto
mweinczok@dickinsonwright.com

Scholarship Officer
Steven Kargman
Kargman Associates, New York
skargman@kargmanassociates.com

Publications Officers

Matteo Bazzani

Carnelutti Studio Legale Associato, Milan
mbazzani@carnelutti.com

Gottfried Gassner Binder Grösswang Rechtsanwälte GmbH,Vienna gassner@bindergroesswang.at

Senior Vice-Chairs of Membership

Anja Droege Gagnier

BMH Avocats, Paris

adroege@bmhavocats.com

Shinnosuke Fukuoka
Nishimura & Asahi,Tokyo
s_fukuoka@plus.jurists.co.jp

Patricia Godfrey
CMS Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang, London
Patricia.Godfrey@cms-cmno.com

Membership Officers
Christian Bergqvist
Wistrand, Stockholm
christian.bergqvist@wistrand.se

Anton Molchanov Arzinger Law Office, Kiev anton.molchanov@arzinger.ua

Membership Officer Australia **Leon Zwier** Arnold Bloch Leibler, Melbourne Izwier@abl.com.au

Membership Officer North America
Chester Salomon
Becker Glynn Muffly Chassin & Hosinski, New York
csalomon@beckerglynn.com

Membership Officer Asia **Ryo Okubo** Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu, New York ryo_okubo@noandt.com

> Membership Officer China Shen Xiangman Wang Jing & Co, Guangzhou shenxiangman@wjnco.com

Membership Officer Europe
Andrea Tracanella
Studio Tracanella, Milan

Membership Officer South America

Marcelo Perlman

Perlman Vidigal e Godoy Advogados, São Paulo
marcelo@pvg.com.br

Automotive Industry Officer
Peter Fissenewert
Buse Heberer Fromm, Berlin
fissenewert@buse.de

Vice-Chairs of Conferences **Robyn Gurofsky** Borden Ladner Gervais, Calgary rgurofsky@blg.com

Past Section Co-Chairs

2015 2014

Gregor Baer	2015–2016
Brigitte Umbach-Spahn	2015-2016
Pekka Jaatinen	2013-2014
Josef Krüger	2013-2014
Judith Elkin	2011-2012
David Jenny	2011-2012
Leonard H Gilbert	2009-2010
Carsten Ceutz	2009-2010
Christopher Besant	2007-2008
Alexander Klauser	2007-2008
Ben Floyd	2005-2006
Agustin Bou	2005-2006
Kolja von Bismarck	2003-2004
Michael Prior	2003-2004
Gabriele Nadal Vallve	2001-2002
Selinda Melnik	2001-2002
Ole Borch	1997-2000
Richard Broude	1997-2000
E Bruce Leonard	1992-1996
Hans-Jochem Luer	1992-1996

International Bar Association

Mark Ellis Executive Director
James Lewis Director of Content
Jennifer Sadler-Venis Content Editor
Will Fox Typesetter/Artworker
Andrew Webster-Dunn Head of Sponsorship

and Advertising

Andreas Spahlinger Gleiss Lutz, Stuttgart andreas.spahlinger@gleisslutz.com

Industry Liaison Officer

Daniel Joseph Saval

Kobre & Kim, New York
daniel.saval@kobrekim.com

Oil and Gas Industry Officer John Melko Gardere Wynne Sewell, Houston jmelko@gardere.com

Transportation and Infrastructure Industry Officer
Patrick Rona
McCann Worldgroup, Singapore

Annual Conference Officers
Elisabetta Caccavella
Legance Avvocati Associati, Milan
ecaccavella@legance.it

Sarah L Cave Hughes Hubbard & Reed, New York sarah.cave@hugheshubbard.com

Mid-Year Meetings Conference Officers
Teis Gullitz Wormslev
Kromann Reumert, Copenhagen
TWO@kromannreumert.com

Huan Tan Benvalor, Utrecht tan@benvalor.com UNCITRAL Liaison

Gregor Baer
Gregor Baer Esq, San Francisco
gregorbaer@aol.com

Alexander Klauser
Brauneis Klauser Praendl Rechtsanwaelte GmbH, Vienna
a.klauser@bkp.at

Lewis Kruger Stroock & Stroock & Lavan, New York Ikruger@stroock.com

Insolvency Section Liaison Officer
Pierre Pettersson
Advokatfirman Lindahl, Stockholm
pierre.pettersson@lindahl.se

World Bank Liaison Officer Leonard Gilbert Holland & Knight, Tampa leonard.gilbert@hklaw.com

Vice-Chair of Projects and Publications
Jana Essebier
VISCHER AG, Zurich
jessebier@vischer.com

Website Officer

Daniel Hajek

HAJEK, Prague

daniel.hajek@hajeklaw.cz

Alfa Liaison Officer
Sylvain Paillotin
Sekri Valentin Zerrouk, Paris
spaillotin@svz.fr

INSOL Liaison Officer

Doug Smith

Eugene F Collins Solicitors, Dublin
dsmith@efc.ie

Vice-Chair of Subcomittees

Eva Spiegel

Wolf Theiss, Vienna
eva.spiegel@wolftheiss.com

Shipping Industry Officer John J Monaghan Howard & Knight john.monaghan@hklaw.com

Insolvent Financial Institutions Subcommittee

Co-Chairs
Patrick Elliot
Cubism Law, London
patrick.elliot@cubismlaw.com

Cristina FussiDe Berti Jacchia Franchini Forlani Law Firm, Milan c.fussi@dejalex.com

Vice-Chairs
Joanna Gumpelson
De Pardieu Brocas Maffei, Paris
gumpelson@de-pardieu.com

Sergey Treshchev Squire Patton Boggs, Moscow sergey.treshchev@squirepb.com

Creditors' Rights Subcommittee

Andreas F Bauer GSK Stockmann, Munich andreas.bauer@gsk.de Vice-Chairs

Beat Mumenthaler
Pestalozzi, Geneva
beat.mumenthaler@pestalozzilaw.com

Pedro Arregui Arregui Abogados, Burgos pedro@arreguiabogadoseconomistas.com

> Samantha Gleit King & Spalding, New York sgleit@kslaw.com

Fernando Quicios Dorado Perez-Llorca, London fquicios@perezllorca.com

Russia: cryptocurrency and bankruptcy estate

Sergey Treshchev and Elena Malevich

Squire Patton Boggs, Moscow

Introduction

Under Russian insolvency laws, the trustees – or in the case of insolvency of individual debtors, the so-called financial managers – face various difficulties while collecting the bankruptcy estate. A recent phenomenon in that regard is whether cryptocurrency should form part of the bankruptcy estate. Recent court cases show no clear picture.

General legal framework

Generally, according to Article 131 (1) of Federal Law No 127-FZ dated 26 October 2002 'On Insolvency (Bankruptcy)' (the 'Bankruptcy Law') all debtor's property available at the time of opening the procedure and revealed during bankruptcy proceedings constitutes the bankruptcy estate.

To properly register the assets of a debtor, which form the bankruptcy estate, the trustee/financial manager has the right to contract accountants, auditors and other experts.

The bankruptcy estate usually comprises: (1) fixed and circulating assets; (2) intangible assets; (3) receivables; and (4) other assets fixed in the balance sheets of the debtor.

The Bankruptcy Law also specifies some types of assets that do not fall within the categories of assets forming the bankruptcy estate; such assets are subject to a special procedure of transfer from the debtor to the relevant third parties, including:

- assets that are non-marketable or limited in their transferability (eg, objects of seaport infrastructure);
- socially significant facilities and cultural heritage objects;
- social housing facilities;
- non-assignable property rights connected with the personality of the debtor (eg, rights to licences to conduct particular activities);
- in the event of the bankruptcy of a professional securities market participant, assets of its clients;
- pension savings and pension reserves of private pension funds;

- mortgage collateral securing obligations of the issuer of mortgage-backed securities;
- in the event of a bankruptcy of an individual, his or her assets in relation to which execution cannot be levied (eg, the sole living premises (if not mortgaged) and household articles);
- in the event of a bankruptcy of a farm, any property owned by the head or members of the farm household or in relation to which it can be proven that such property was not acquired using funds of the farm household; and
- assets of compensation funds of self-regulating organisations.

Disputes about forming the bankruptcy estate, in particular, and therefore, disputes about whether certain assets fall into the bankruptcy estate, are resolved in the state commercial courts.

Recent court cases dealing with cryptocurrency in bankruptcy

Recently, a new problem has been observed in relation to forming the bankruptcy estate: the treatment of cryptocurrency held by individuals.

Not very surprisingly, the Bankruptcy Law does not deal (yet) expressly with cryptocurrency in relation to the bankruptcy estate.

The State commercial courts already had to deal with and review aspects related to the use of cryptocurrency in bankruptcy; however, the courts were not required to decide and have not yet clarified if cryptocurrency could be considered as an asset under Russian law.

In one of the cases, a debtor filed for bankruptcy proceedings to avoid payment of debts originated by the unsuccessful mining of cryptocurrency. The judge rejected the petition on voluntary bankruptcy and stated that the individual debtor had acted in bad faith because this debtor had intentionally increased the outstanding obligations by performing transactions with cryptocurrency whose official use was not regulated in the Russian Federation (State Commercial Court of the Tyumen Region, case No

Russia: cryptocurrency and bankruptcy estate

A70-15360/2015). The court of appeal, however, found that there was not sufficient evidence on the bad faith behaviour of the debtor and referred the ruling back to the court of the first instance.

In a second case, the court decided to oblige the individual debtor to provide the financial manager with documents on transactions with cryptocurrency, with the purpose of evaluating the financial state of that debtor (State Commercial Court of the Volgograd Region in case No A13-15648/2015).

Finally, the most recent case resolved in Moscow attracted the attention of the community of insolvency practitioners in Russia because the judges ruled on the question of whether cryptocurrency could be considered as an asset to form a bankruptcy estate.

The dispute was initiated at the Moscow City State Commercial Court (case No A40-124668/2017) as the court of the first instance. The financial manager of an individual debtor claimed in court to include cryptocurrency in the bankruptcy estate.

The debtor objected against the claim, referring to the uncertain legal status of cryptocurrency in Russia. The Moscow City State Commercial Court followed the arguments of the defendant and rejected the claim of the financial manager. The judge justified the ruling by referring to Article 131 of the Bankruptcy Law and held that 'based on a direct interpretation of the rules of law', cryptocurrency cannot be qualified as an object of civil rights. The court also noted that cryptocurrency is beyond the legal field in Russia and the compulsory force of cryptocurrency transactions are not secured by the State.

The judge also indicated that the anonymity of users of cryptocurrency does not permit the definition with certainty of the beneficial owner of cryptocurrency; the cryptocurrency of the debtor, therefore, which had been revealed by the financial manager, was not subject to be included in the bankruptcy estate of the individual debtor.

The financial manager, of course, disagreed with the ruling and filed an appeal with the Ninth Commercial Court of Appeal (the 'Court of Appeal'). Very interestingly, the Court of Appeal indeed took

the opposite view on this dispute and ruled to include cryptocurrency into the debtor's bankruptcy estate.

In this decision, the Court of Appeal held that Russian law does not provide for an exhaustive list of objects of civil rights. Taking into account the rapid level of development of information technology, the Court of Appeal considered it possible to broadly interpret the definition of objects of civil rights and ordered the debtor to transfer the password to the financial manager for access to the crypto-cartwheel to replenish the bankruptcy estate.

It was the first court ruling in Russia acknowledging cryptocurrency as an asset that can be included in the debtor's bankruptcy estate.

We consider the outcome of the dispute as very positive. The Court of Appeal drew the important conclusion that the lack of special regulation of cryptocurrency does not exclude the applicability of general principles of civil law. We will follow the further development of that trend in Russia; we believe, however, that until laws on digital assets are amended, transactions with cryptocurrency in Russia will remain risky, and their status in bankruptcy proceedings remains unclear.

Sergey Treshchev is a partner and Head of the Dispute Resolution, Insolvency & Restructuring Practice at Squire Patton Boggs, Moscow. He has advised and represented Russian and foreign clients in insolvency and restructuring proceedings involving banks, pharmaceutical companies, gas servicing enterprises and retail companies. He has represented Russian and foreign clients at state commercial courts, IP court, Court of the Eurasian Economic Community and courts of general jurisdiction. He can be contacted at sergey.treshchev@squirepb.com

Elena Malevich is an associate in the Dispute Resolution, Insolvency & Restructuring Practice of Squire Patton Boggs, Moscow. She has represented Russian and foreign companies in legal proceedings before Russian commercial courts and courts of general jurisdiction in various regions and at all levels, in a wide range of matters, and has experience of international arbitration. Elena's experience is mostly connected with bankruptcy and insolvency cases, disputes with state authorities, debt recovery, IP and dispute on antimonopoly and pharmaceutical matters. She can be contacted at elena.malevich@squirepb.com.

Report from the Insolvent Financial Institutions Subcommittee

Sergey Treshchev

Squire Patton Boggs, Moscow

The Insolvent Financial Institutions Subcommittee (the 'Subcommittee') paid serious attention and contributed to both the organisation of the 24th Annual IBA Global Insolvency and Restructuring Conference in Amsterdam and preparation of the Insolvency Section's presentations at the IBA Annual Conference 2018 in Rome. Several conference calls were held to discuss the agenda and specific topics, and select and recommend speakers. In particular, intensive discussions were held with respect to the Clash of Cultures topic. As a result, the Subcommittee has put aside the idea of covering the 'UNIDROIT' topic (A new Protocol of the Cape Town Convention on International

Interests on Mobile Equipment) and chosen other more relevant issues for discussion.

Representatives of the Subcommittee took part in the Insolvency Section's officers meeting, which summarised the results of the previous IBA Annual Conference and preparation for the Amsterdam Conference and the IBA Annual Conference 2018 in Rome, and approved important decisions to strengthen the Section's role in the IBA and externally.

Finally, representatives of the Subcommittee are also active in providing articles for this magazine.

Sergey Treshchev is a partner at Squire Patton Boggs in Moscow and can be contacted at **sergey.treshchev@squirepb.com**.

Report from the Creditors' Rights Subcommittee

Pedro Arregui

Arregui Abogados Economistas, Madrid/Burgos

24th Annual IBA Global Insolvency and Restructuring Conference, May 2018, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

During the last Insolvency Section mid-term conference, the Creditors' Rights Subcommittee (CRS) presented a panel on 'The long arm of insolvency proceedings: on extraterritorial reach of domestic avoidance provisions'.

Andreas Bauer of GSK Stockmann, Munich and Sarah L Cave of Hughes Hubbard & Reed, New York moderated the panel and introduced the panellists.

The panel consisted of Leonard H Gilbert of Holland & Knight, Tampa and World Bank Liaison Officer; Professor Bruce A Markell of Northwestern Pritzker School of Law, Chicago; Thomas Rohner of Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law, Zurich; Yuri Sugano of Nishimura Asahi, Tokyo; and Luciana Tornovsky of Demarest Advogados, São Paulo.

After presenting an overview of the subject, the panellists followed with suggested approaches regarding the extraterritorial reach of domestic avoidance provisions and presented several cases to foster discussion about the treatment of inbound and outbound avoidance actions. The panellists then focused on the connection required between recommendations 87 to 99 of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Legislative Guide.

After a short coffee break, the discussion continued with a focus on future lines of regulations regarding